FEDERAL PASSENGER COMPANY

On the second of the second of

Report on compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code

This report on compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code was reviewed by the Board of Directors of JSC FPC on 27 May 2025 (Minutes No. 16 dated 30 May 2025).

The Board of Directors of JSC FPC confirms that the data in this report contains complete and reliable information on the Company's compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code for 2024.

JSC FPC's corporate governance model and practices are based on the current legislation of the Russian Federation, the provisions of the Company's Articles of Association and internal documents, and the principles recommended by the Code. More detailed information on the system and model of corporate

governance, as well as on the specifics of corporate governance practices is given in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's Annual Report.

The methodology by which the Company assessed compliance with the principles of corporate governance is based on the Recommendations on compiling a report on compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code (Annex to the letter of the Bank of Russia No. IN-06-28/102 dated 27 December 2021).

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
1.1	The company shall ensure equal and fair treatn governance right	nent of all shareholders in exercising their corporate		
1.1.1	The company ensures the most favourable conditions for its shareholders to participate in the general meeting, develop informed positions on agenda items of the general meeting, coordinate their actions, and voice their opinions	 The company provides accessible means of communication with the company, such as a hotline, email, or online forum, to enable shareholders to express their opinions and send questions on the agenda in preparation for the general meeting. 	Full compliance	
	on items considered	These channels of communication were organised by the company and made available to shareholders in preparation for each general meeting held during the reporting period		
1.1.2	The procedure for giving notice of, and providing relevant materials for, the general meeting enables shareholders to properly prepare for attending the general meeting	 In the reporting period, the notice of the general meeting of shareholders was posted (published) on the company's website no later than 30 days before the date of the general meeting, unless a longer period is stipulated by law. 	Partial compliance	 Partial compliance. In the reporting period, the notice of the General Meeting of Shareholders was posted (published) on the Company's website less than 30 days before the date of the General Meeting of Shareholders,
		 The notice of the meeting lists the documents required for admission to the venue. Shareholders were provided with access to information on the persons being initiators of the agenda items and nominating the candidates for the board of directors and the auditing commission (if establishment of the same is stipulated by the company's articles of association) 		however the rights of the shareholders were not violated. 2. Full compliance. 3. Full compliance.
1.1.3	In preparation for the general meeting and during the general meeting, shareholders were enabled to receive information about, and all materials related to, the meeting, put questions to executive bodies and members of the board of directors, as well as communicate with each other, in an unobstructed and timely manner	 In the reporting period, shareholders were given an opportunity to put questions to members of executive bodies and members of the board of directors during the annual general meeting and in its preparation. The position of the board of directors (including dissenting opinions entered in the minutes) on each item on the agenda of general meetings held in the reporting period was included in the materials for the general meeting of shareholders. The company gave duly authorised shareholders access to the list of persons entitled to participate in the general meeting, as from the date when such list was received by the company, in all instances of general meetings held in the reporting period 	Full compliance	
1.1.4	Shareholders did not encounter unjustified difficulties in exercising their right to request that a general meeting be convened, to nominate candidates to governing bodies, and to make proposals for the agenda of the general meeting	 The company's articles of association stipulate a deadline for shareholders to submit proposals for inclusion on the agenda of the annual general meeting of shareholders; such deadline should be at least 60 days after the end of the relevant calendar year. In the reporting period, the company did not reject proposals for the agenda or candidates to governing bodies due to misprints or other insignificant flaws in the shareholder's proposal 	Full compliance	
1.1.5	Each shareholder was enabled to freely exercise their voting right in the simplest and most convenient way	 The company's articles of association provide for the possibility of completing the electronic form of the ballot on the website (its address is specified in the notice of the general meeting of shareholders) 	Full compliance	

01 02 03 04 05 **06 APPENDICES**

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
2.1	approaches to the organisation of the risk man	agement of the company, determines the basic principles and lagement and internal control system within the company, utive bodies, and performs other key functions.		
2.1.1	The board of directors is responsible for making decisions related to the appointment and dismissal of executive bodies, including in connection with the improper performance of their duties. The board of directors also ensures that the company's executive bodies act in accordance with the approved growth strategy and along the company's core lines of business	 The board of directors has the authority stipulated in the articles of association to appoint and remove members of executive bodies and to set out the terms and conditions of their contracts. During the reporting period, the nominations (appointments, staff) committee reviewed the compliance of the professional qualifications, skills and experience of the members of the executive bodies in respect of the current and expected needs of the company as dictated by the approved strategy of the company. In the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the report(s) by the sole executive body or members of the collective executive body (if any) on the implementation of the company's strategy 	Full compliance	
2.1.2	The board of directors defines the main long-term targets of the company's operations, assesses and approves its key performance indicators and key business goals, as well as the strategy and business plans for the company's core lines of business	 In the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed at its meetings matters related to the progress in the implementation of the strategy and its updates, approval of the company's financial and business plan (budget), and consideration of the implementation criteria and performance (including interim criteria and performance) of the company's strategy and business plans 	Full compliance	
2.1.3	The board of directors determines the principles of, and approaches to, organising a risk management and internal control system in the company	 The principles of and approaches to the organisation of the risk management and internal control system in the company are determined by the board of directors and stipulated in the company's internal documents, which determine the risk management and internal control policy. During the reporting period, the board of directors approved (revised) the acceptable level of risk (risk appetite) of the company, or the audit committee and/or risk committee (if any) considered the advisability of submitting the issue of revising the company's risk appetite to the board of directors for consideration 	Full compliance	
2.1.4	The board of directors determines the company's policy on remuneration and/or reimbursement of expenses (compensation) to members of the board of directors, executive bodies of the company and other key management personnel of the company	 The company developed, approved by the board of directors and implemented a policy (policies) on remuneration and reimbursement of expenses (compensation) of members of the board of directors, executive bodies of the company and other key management personnel of the company. In the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the matters related to the said policy (policies) 	Full compliance	
2.1.5	The board of directors plays a key role in preventing, identifying and settling internal conflicts between the company's bodies, shareholders and employees	 The board of directors plays a key role in preventing, identifying and settling internal conflicts. The company set up a system for identification of transactions involving a conflict of interest, and a set of measures to resolve such conflicts 	Full compliance	
2.1.6	The board of directors plays a key role in ensuring the company's transparency, the timeliness and completeness of its information disclosures, and unhindered access to the company's documents for shareholders	 The company's internal documents define the persons responsible for implementing the information policy 	Full compliance	
2.1.7	The board of directors controls the company's corporate governance practices and plays a key role in its significant corporate events	 During the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the results of a self-assessment and/or an external assessment of the company's corporate governance practices 	Full compliance	
2.2	The board of directors is accountable to the con	mpany's share holders		
2.2.1	Performance of the board of directors is disclosed and made available to the shareholders	 The company's annual report for the reporting period includes the information on attendance at board of directors and committee meetings by every member of the board of directors. The annual report contains key results of assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance in the reporting period 	Full compliance	
2.2.2	The chairman of the board of directors is available to communicate with the company's shareholders	 The company has a transparent procedure in place to ensure that shareholders can send their enquires to and receive feedback from the chairman of the board of directors (and, where applicable, the senior independent director) 	Full compliance	
2.3	The board of directors manages the company in independent judgements and decisions in line to the second sec	n an efficient and competent manner and makes fair and with the best interests of the company and its shareholders		
2.3.1	Only persons with impeccable business and personal reputation, possessing the knowledge and expertise required to make decisions falling within the authority of the board of directors and to essential performing its functions efficiently are elected to the board of directors	 In the reporting period, the board of directors (or its nomination committee) assessed nominees to the board of directors in terms of having the required experience, knowledge, business reputation, absence of a conflict of interest, etc. 	Full compliance	

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
2.3.2	The company's directors are elected via a transparent procedure, enabling shareholders to obtain information on nominees sufficient to judge on their personal and professional qualities	1. Whenever the agenda of a general meeting of shareholders held in the reporting period included the election to the board of directors, the company provided its shareholders with biographical details of all nominees for the board of directors, the results of the assessment of their professional qualifications, skills and experience for the compliance with the current and expected needs of the company (such assessment to be carried out by the board of directors (or its nomination committee)), as well as information on whether the candidate met the criteria of independence as set forth in recommendations 102–107 of the Code and the written consent of the nominees for election to the board of directors	Full compliance	
2.3.3	The board of directors is balanced, including in terms of qualifications of its members, their experience, knowledge and business qualities, and has the trust of shareholders	 During the reporting period, the board of directors analysed its own needs in terms of professional qualifications, experience and skills and identified the competencies required by the board of directors in the short and long term 	Full compliance	
2.3.4	The company has a sufficient number of directors to organise the board of directors' activities in the most efficient way, including the ability to set up committees of the board of directors and enable the company's substantial minority shareholders to elect a nominee to the board of directors for whom they vote	 During the reporting period, the board of directors considered whether the number of the board of directors' members meets the company's needs and the interests of shareholders 	Full compliance	
2.4	The board of directors includes a sufficient nur	mber of independent directors		
2.4.1	An independent director is a person of sufficient professionalism, experience and self-reliance to form their own opinion, able to make impartial judgements in good faith independent of the company's executive bodies, particular groups of shareholders or other stakeholders.	 In the reporting period, all independent directors met the independence criteria set out in recommendations 102–107 of the Code, or were deemed independent by resolution of the board of directors 	Full compliance	
	It should be noted that under normal circumstance a nominee (elected director) cannot be considered independent if he/she is related to the company, its substantial shareholder or counterparty, the company's competitor, or the government			
2.4.2	The compliance of nominees to the board of directors with the criteria for independence is assessed, and a regular review of compliance of independent directors with such criteria is performed. Substance prevails over form in such assessments	 In the reporting period, the board of directors (or the nomination committee of the board of directors) formed its opinion on the independence of each nominee to the board of directors and presented respective opinions to shareholders. During the reporting period, the board of directors (or the board of directors' nomination committee) reviewed the independence of the current board of directors' members at least once (after they were elected). The company developed procedures defining the actions to be taken by a member of the board of directors if they ceases to be independent, including the obligation to timely notify the board of directors thereof 	Full compliance	
2.4.3	Independent directors make up at least one third of the elected board of directors	1. Independent directors make up at least one third of the board of directors	Full compliance	
2.4.4	Independent directors play a key role in preventing internal conflicts within the company and ensuring that the company takes significant corporate actions	 In the reporting period, independent directors (who did not have a conflict of interest) carried out a preliminary assessment of significant corporate actions implying a possible conflict of interest, and the results of such assessment were presented to the board of directors 	Full compliance	No significant corporate actions related to a possible conflict of interest were performed in the reporting year.
2.5	The chairman of the board of directors facilitat of directors	tes the best performance of assigned duties by the board		
2.5.1	The board of directors is chaired by an independent director, or a senior independent director is chosen from among the elected independent directors to coordinate the activities of independent directors and enable the interaction with the chairman of the board of directors	 The board of directors is chaired by an independent director, or a senior independent director is appointed from among the independent directors. The role, rights and duties of the chairman of the board of directors (and, if applicable, of the senior independent director) are duly set out in the company's internal documents 	Partial compliance	 No compliance. The Chairman of JSC FPC's Board of Directors is not an independent director. The candidate for the position of Chairman of the Board of Directors of JSC FPC shall be agreed with the Board of Directors of the parent company. Independent directors do not require additional coordination, and there is no provision for a senior independent director. Full compliance.
2.5.2	The chairman of the board of directors maintains a constructive environment at meetings, enables free discussions of agenda items, and supervises the execution of resolutions passed by the board of directors	 The efficiency of the chairman of the board of directors was assessed as part of the procedure for assessing (self-assessing) the performance of the board of directors in the reporting period 	Full compliance	

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
2.5.3	The chairman of the board of directors takes all steps necessary for the timely provision to directors of information required to pass resolutions on agenda items	 The company's internal documents set out the duty of the chairman of the board of directors to take all steps necessary for the timely provision to directors of full and reliable information regarding items on the agenda of the board meeting 	Full compliance	
2.6	Directors act reasonably and in good faith in thon sufficient information, exercising due care a	e best interests of the company and its shareholders, relying and prudence		
2.6.1	Directors make decisions based on all information available, without conflict of interest, subject to equal treatment of the company's shareholders, and assuming normal business risks	 The company's internal documents provide that a director should notify the board of directors if he/she has a conflict of interest in respect of any item on the agenda of the board meeting or the board's committee meeting, prior to the discussion of the relevant agenda item. The company's internal documents stipulate that a director should abstain from voting on any item in connection with which they have a conflict of interest. The company has in place a procedure enabling the board of directors to get professional advice on matters within its remit at the expense of the company 	Full compliance	
2.6.2	The rights and obligations of directors are clearly defined and set out in the company's internal documents	 The company has adopted and published an internal document clearly defining the rights and duties of directors 	Full compliance	
2.6.3	Members of the board of directors have sufficient time to perform their duties	 Individual attendance of the meetings of the board of directors and committees, as well as sufficient time to work on the board of directors, including its committees, is analysed as part of the procedure for assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance in the reporting period. In accordance with the company's internal documents, directors inform the board of their intentions to joint management bodies of other organisations (except for entities controlled by the company), or of the relevant appointment made 	Full compliance	
2.6.4	All directors have equal access to the company's documents and information. Newly elected directors are furnished with sufficient information about the company and performance of the board of directors as soon as possible	 In accordance with the company's internal documents, the members of the board of directors have the right to obtain information and documents necessary for the members of the board of directors to perform their duties relating to the company and its controlled entities, and the executive bodies of the company should ensure that relevant information and documents are provided. The company implements a formalised induction programme for newly elected directors 	Full compliance	
2.7	Meetings of the board of directors, preparation efficient performance by the board of directors	n for such meetings and participation of directors ensure s		
2.7.1	Meetings of the board of directors are held as needed, taking into account the scale of operations and goals of the company at a particular time	1. The board of directors held at least six meetings in the reporting year	Full compliance	
2.7.2	The company's internal regulations formalise a procedure for arranging and holding meetings of the board of directors, enabling members of the board of directors to prepare for such meetings properly	 The company has an approved internal document that describes the procedure for arranging and holding meetings of the board of directors and sets out, in particular, that the notice of the meeting shall be given, as a rule, at least five days prior to such meeting. During the reporting period, the members of the board of directors who were not present at the meeting venue were given the opportunity to participate in the discussion of agenda items and vote remotely via conference calls and video conferencing 	Full compliance	
2.7.3	The format of the meeting of the board of directors is determined taking into account the importance of items on the agenda. The most important matters shall be dealt with at meetings of the board of directors held in person	 The company's articles of association or internal documents stipulate that the most important issues (including those listed in recommendation 168 of the Code) should be considered at in-person meetings of the board of directors 	Partial compliance	The Regulations on the Board of Directors of JSC FPC contain a recommendation to the Board of Directors to deal with the most important matters at meetings held in person. The list of such issues is 41% compliant with recommendation 168 of the Code.

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
2.7.4	Resolutions on the most important matters related to the company's operations are passed at a meeting of the board of directors by a qualified majority or by a majority of all elected directors	 The company's articles of association provide for resolutions on the most important matters (including those set out in recommendation 170 of the Code) to be passed at a meeting of the board of directors by a qualified majority of at least 3/4 or by a majority of all elected directors 	Partial compliance	In accordance with para. 18.7 of the Articles of Association, resolutions of the Company's Board of Directors on including the persons nominated by shareholders or the Company's Board of Directors in the list of members of the collegial executive body or the Company's Auditing Commission and electing the person acting as the sole executive body of each company created through reorganisation in the form of merger, division or spin-off, as well as on the issue specified in subpara. 3, para. 15.1, Article 15 the present Articles of Association and in cases stipulated by the Federal Law "On Joint Stock Companies", are passed by a majority of at least three quarters of the total number of Directors.
				The votes of the retired members of the Board of Directors of the Company shall not be taken into account when the Board of Directors of the Company adopts resolutions stipulated by this clause. The criteria for recognising a member of the Board of Directors of the Company as retired shall be determined by the Regulations on the Board of Directors of the Company.
				In accordance with para. 18.8 of the Articles of Association, resolutions of the Company's Board of Directors on matters listed in subpara. 1, 12, 21, 24, 26, 36, 42, 43, 45 of para. 15.1, Article 15 of the present Articles of Association, as well as on approving the Company's Dividend Policy are passed by a majority of the members of Company's Board of Directors.
2.8	The board of directors sets up committees to properations	review the most important matters related to the company's		
2.8.1	To preview matters related to controlling the company's	1. The board of directors set up an audit committee comprised solely	Partial compliance	1. No compliance.
	·	 of independent directors. The company's internal documents set out the tasks of the audit committee, including those listed in recommendation 172 of the Code. At least one member of the audit committee represented by an independent director has experience and knowledge of preparing, analysing, assessing 		Before the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, the Audit and Risk Committee included members of the Board of Directors and experts representing the parent company; after the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, the Audit and Risk Committee includes one independent director (Chairman), members of the Board of Directors and experts representing the parent company.
		 and auditing accounting (financial) statements. 4. Meetings of the audit committee were held at least once a quarter during the reporting period 		Full compliance. Partial compliance.
		the reporting period		The Audit and Risk Committee, elected after the annual General Meeting of Shareholders, is chaired by an independent member of the Board of Directors.
				4. Full compliance.
2.8.2	and headed by an independent director who is not	 The board of directors set up a remuneration committee comprised solely of independent directors. The remuneration committee is headed by an independent director who is not the chairman of the board of directors. The company's internal documents determine the tasks of the remuneration committee, including but not limited to the tasks covered by recommendation 180 of the Code, as well as the conditions (events), upon the occurrence of which the remuneration committee considers revision of the company's remuneration policy for the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives 	Partial compliance	1. Partial compliance.
				The Company established the Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate Governance Committee consisting of two independent directors, representatives of the shareholder and the Company's management.
				Full compliance. Partial compliance.
				The Regulations on the Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate Governance Committee do not include the tasks contained in para. 4 of recommendation 180 of the Corporate Governance Code, and also do not envisage the revision of the Company's policy on remuneration of members of the Board of Directors, executive bodies and other key executives.
2.8.3	To preview matters related to talent management (succession planning), professional composition and efficiency of the board of directors, a nomination (appointments, human resources) committee was set up, predominantly comprised of independent directors	ing), professional composition and in recommendation 186 of the Code are fulfilled by another committee) predominantly comprised of independent directors. The company's internal documents set out the tasks of the nomination	Partial compliance	1. Partial compliance. JSC FPC set up the Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors of JSC FPC. The functions of this Committee are enshrined in the Regulations on the Committee for Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate Governance of the Board of Directors of JSC FPC and comply with the recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code
				regarding the remuneration committee and the nomination committee. Two independent directors were elected to the Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate
				Governance Committee, one of whom chairs the committee. 2. Full compliance.
				No compliance.Given the existing structure of JSC FPC's authorised capital, i.e., the presence of a single shareholder
				in the share capital structure, this criterion is irrelevant for the Company. For selection of candidates to the Company's Board of Directors, the Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate Governance Committee presented the Committee's recommendations to shareholders on the candidates' compliance with the criteria of independence, qualifications, competences and experience.
2.8.4	Taking into account the company's scope of business and level of risks, the company's board of directors made sure that the composition of its committees is fully in line with the company's business goals. Additional committees were either set up or not deemed necessary (strategy committee, corporate governance committee, ethics committee, risk management committee, budget committee, health, safety and environment committee, etc.)	1. In the reporting period, the company's board of directors considered whether the structure of the board of directors is appropriate to the scope, nature, business goals, needs, and risk profile of the company. Additional committees were either set up or deemed unnecessary	Full compliance	

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
2.8.5	Committees are composed so as to enable comprehensive discussions of matters under preview, taking into account the diversity of opinions	 The audit committee, remuneration committee, and nomination committee (or the relevant committee with a combined function) were chaired by independent directors in the reporting period. The company's internal documents (policies) include provisions stipulating that persons who are not members of the audit committee, the nomination committee (or the relevant committee with a combined function) and the remuneration committee may attend committee meetings only by invitation of the chairman of the respective committee 	Partial compliance	 Partial compliance. During the reporting period, the Human Resources, Remuneration and Corporate Governance Committee was chaired by an independent director. In the period before the General Meeting of Shareholders, the Audit and Risk Committee was chaired by a non-executive director; after the General Meeting of Shareholders, the Audit and Risk Committee was chaired an independent director. Full compliance.
2.8.6	Committee chairmen inform the board of directors and its chairman on the work of their committees on a regular basis	During the reporting period, committee chairmen reported to the board of directors on the work of committees on a regular basis	Full compliance	
2.9	The board of directors ensures performance ass members of the board of directors	sessment of the board of directors, its committees and		
2.9.1	The board of directors ensures the assessment of performance of the board of directors, its committees and members of the board of directors, whether their work meets the company's development needs, as well intensifying the work of the board of directors and identifying opportunities for the improvement of its performance	 The company's internal documents stipulate the procedures for assessing (self-assessing) the board of directors' performance. The assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance carried out in the reporting period included an assessment of the work of the committees, an individual assessment of each member of the board of directors and the board of directors as a whole. Results of assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance carried out in the reporting period were reviewed at the in-person meeting of the board of directors 	Full compliance	
2.9.2	The performance of the board of directors, committees and members of the board of directors is assessed on a regular basis at least once a year. An external organisation (consultant) is engaged at least once every three years to carry out an independent assessment of the board of directors' performance	 The company engaged an external institution (advisor) to conduct an independent assessment of the board of directors' performance at least once over the last three reporting periods 	No compliance	No independent assessment of the performance of the Board of Directors was conducted during the reporting period.
3.1	The company's corporate secretary ensures eff the company's efforts to protect shareholder ri of directors	icient ongoing interaction with shareholders, coordinate ghts and interests, and supports the activities of the board		
3.1.1	The corporate secretary has the knowledge, experience and qualifications sufficient to perform their duties, as well as an impeccable reputation and the trust of shareholders	The company's website and the annual report contain biographical information on the corporate secretary (including information on age, education, qualifications, experience), as well as information on positions in management bodies of other legal entities held by the corporate secretary for at least the last five years	Full compliance	
3.1.2	The corporate secretary is sufficiently independent of the company's executive bodies and has the powers and resources required to perform their tasks	 The company has adopted and published an internal document – regulations on the corporate secretary. The board of directors approves the candidate for the position of corporate secretary, terminates their powers and considers the payment of additional remuneration to them. The company's internal documents stipulate the corporate secretary's right to request and receive company documents and information from management bodies, subdivisions and officers of the company 	Partial compliance	 Full compliance. Partial compliance. Due to approval of the revised Articles of Association, payment of remuneration to the Corporate Secretary is referred to the competence of the General Director. Full compliance.
4.1	and qualifications required by the company. Re	cient to attract, motivate and retain people with competencies muneration payable to directors, executive bodies and other with the approved remuneration policy of the company		
4.1.1	The amount of remuneration paid by the company to the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key managers creates sufficient incentives for them to work efficiently while enabling the company to engage and retain competent and qualified specialists. At the same time, the company avoids unnecessarily high remuneration, as well as unjustifiably large gaps between remunerations of the above persons and the company's employees	Remuneration of members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives of the company is determined based on the results of a comparative analysis of remuneration levels in comparable companies	Full compliance	
4.1.2	The company's remuneration policy is devised by the remuneration committee and approved by the board of directors. The board of directors, assisted by the remuneration committee, ensures control over the introduction and implementation of the company's remuneration policy, revising and amending it as required	1. During the reporting period, the remuneration committee reviewed the remuneration policy (policies) and (or) practices of its (their) implementation, assessed their efficiency and transparency, and, if necessary, submitted respective recommendations to the board of directors for revision of the said policy (policies)	Full compliance	

FPC.RU

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
4.1.3	The company's remuneration policy includes transparent mechanisms for determining the amount of remuneration due to directors, executive bodies and other key managers of the company, and regulate all types of expenses, benefits and privileges provided to such persons	 The company's remuneration policy (policies) includes (include) transparent mechanisms for determining the amount of remuneration due to directors, executive bodies and other key managers of the company, and regulates (regulate) all types of expenses, benefits and privileges provided to such persons 	Full compliance	
4.1.4	The company defines a policy on reimbursement (compensation) of costs, detailing a list of reimbursable expenses and specifying service levels that members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key managers of the company can claim. Such policy can make part of the company's remuneration policy	The remuneration policy (policies) defines (define) the rules for reimbursement of costs incurred by directors, executive bodies and other key managers of the company	Full compliance	
4.2	Remuneration system for directors ensures alig financial interests of shareholders	nment of financial interests of directors with long term		
4.2.1	The company pays fixed annual remuneration to directors. The company does not pay remuneration for attending selected meetings of the board of directors or its committees. The company does not apply any form of short-term motivation or additional financial incentive for directors	 In the reporting period, the company paid remuneration to the members of the board of directors in accordance with the remuneration policy adopted by the company. In the reporting period, the company did not apply any forms of short-term motivation or additional material incentives to the members of the board of directors, the payment of which depends on the results (indicators) of the company's performance. No remuneration was paid for participation in individual meetings of the board of directors or the committees under the board of directors 	Full compliance	
4.2.2	Long-term ownership of company shares contributes most to aligning the financial interests of the members of the board of directors with the long-term interests of shareholders. At the same time, the company does not link the right to dispose of shares to performance targets, and directors do not participate in stock option plans	 If the company's internal document(s) – the remuneration policy (policies) – stipulate(s) (stipulate) provision of the company's shares to directors, clear rules for share ownership by directors shall be defined and disclosed, aimed at stimulating long-term ownership of such shares 	Full compliance	The approach to assessing the criterion was revised. The Company's internal remuneration document does not provide for the granting of Company shares to members of the Board of Directors.
4.2.3	The company does not provide for any extra payments or compensations in the event of early termination of directors' mandates resulting from the change of control or any other reasons	 The company does not provide for any extra payments or compensations in the event of early termination of directors' mandates resulting from the change of control or any other reasons 	Full compliance	
4.3		e personal contribution of each executive to the achievement nount of a fee payable to members of executive bodies and		
4.3.1	Remuneration due to members of executive bodies and other key managers of the company is determined in a manner providing for reasonable and justified ratio of the fixed and variable parts of remuneration, depending on the company's results and the employee's personal contribution	 In the reporting period, annual performance indicators approved by the board of directors were used to determine the amount of the variable part of remuneration due to members of executive bodies and other key managers of the company. During the latest assessment of the system of remuneration for members of executive bodies and other key managers of the company, the board of directors (remuneration committee) made sure that the company applies efficient ratio of the fixed and variable parts of remuneration. When determining the amount of remuneration payable to the members of executive bodies and other key executives of the company, consideration is given to the risks borne by the company in order to avoid creating incentives for taking excessively risky management decisions 	Full compliance	
4.3.2	The company has in place a long-term incentive programme for members of executive bodies and other key managers of the company with the use of the company's shares (options and other derivative instruments where the company's shares are the underlying asset)	1. If the company has introduced a long-term incentive programme for members of executive bodies and other key executives of the company using company shares (financial instruments based on company shares), the programme stipulates that the right to sell such shares and other financial instruments shall not arise earlier than three years after provision of the same. The right to dispose of such shares or other financial instruments is linked to the company's performance targets	Full compliance	The approach to assessing the criterion was revised. Not applicable to the Company's operations, as motivation of executive bodies and other key management personnel of the Company is regulated by the Russian Government Order No. 3579-r dated 28 December 2020 and Russian Government Resolution No. 209 dated 13 February 2023. For more details, see p. 123-124
4.3.3	The compensation (golden parachute) payable by the company in case of early termination of powers of members of executive bodies or key managers at the company's initiative, provided that there have been no actions in bad faith on their part, does not exceed the double amount of the fixed part of their annual remuneration	 In the reporting period, the compensation (golden parachute) payable by the company in case of early termination of the powers of executive bodies or key managers at the company's initiative, provided that there have been no actions in bad faith on their part, did not exceed the double amount of the fixed part of their annual remuneration 	Full compliance	

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
5.1	The company has in place an effective risk man assurance in the achievement of the company's	agement and internal control system, providing reasonable speak		
5.1.1	The company's board of directors determined the principles of, and approaches to, organising a risk management and internal control system in the company	1. Functions of different management bodies and units of the company in the risk management system and internal control are clearly defined in the company's internal documents/relevant policy approved by the board of directors	Full compliance	
5.1.2	The company's executive bodies ensure establishment and continuous operation of an efficient risk management and internal control system in the company	 The company's executive bodies ensured the distribution functions, powers and responsibilities related to risk management and internal control between the heads (managers) of units and departments accountable to them 	Full compliance	
5.1.3	The company's risk management and internal control system ensures an objective, fair and clear representation of the current state of the company and its future prospects, the integrity and transparency of the company's reporting, as well as reasonable and acceptable risk exposure	 The company has the anti-corruption policy approved. The company has arranged for safe, confidential and accessible means (hotline) of notifying the board of directors or the board's audit committee about violations of the law, the company's internal procedures and code of ethics 	Full compliance	
5.1.4	The company's board of directors takes necessary measures to make sure that the company's risk management and internal control system is consistent with the principles of, and approaches to its setting up determined by the board of directors, and that the system is functioning efficiently	 During the reporting period, the board of directors (audit committee and/ or risk committee) (if any) organised an assessment of the reliability and effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems. During the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the results of the assessment of the reliability and efficiency of the company's risk management and internal control systems; information on the results of the review is included in the company's annual report 	Full compliance	
5.2		lar independent assessment of the reliability and rnal control system, as well as corporate governance practices		
5.2.1	The company set up a separate business unit or engaged an independent external organisation to carry out internal audits. The functional and administrative reporting lines of the internal audit unit are delineated. The internal audit unit functionally reports to the board of directors.	 To perform internal audits, the company has set up a separate internal audit business unit functionally reporting to the board of directors, or an independent external organisation with the same line of reporting is engaged 	Full compliance	
5.2.2	The internal audit unit assesses the reliability and effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems as well as the corporate governance, and applies generally accepted internal auditing standards	 During the reporting period, internal audit assessed the reliability and effectiveness of the risk management and internal control system. During the reporting period, internal audits assessed corporate governance practices (individual practices), including information exchange procedures (including on internal control and risk management) at all levels of the company's management, as well as interaction with stakeholders 	Full compliance	
6.1	The company and its business are transparent f	or shareholders, investors and other stakeholders		
6.1.1	The company developed and adopted an information policy ensuring an efficient exchange of information between the company, its shareholders, investors and other stakeholders	 The company's board of directors approved an information policy developed in accordance with the Code's recommendations. During the reporting period, the board of directors (or one of its committees) considered the efficiency of information exchange between the company, shareholders, investors and other stakeholders and the expediency (need) to revise the company's information policy 	Full compliance	
6.1.2	The company discloses information on its corporate governance system and practices, including detailed information on compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Code	 The company discloses information on its corporate governance system and general principles of corporate governance applied in the company, including disclosure on a website. The company discloses information on the composition of executive bodies and the board of directors, independence of the board members and their membership in the board's committees (as defined in the Code). If the company has a controlling entity, the company publishes a memorandum of the controlling entity setting out the latter's plans for the company's corporate governance 	Partial compliance	 Full compliance. Partial compliance: information on the sole executive body – General Director is disclosed, Section 4.4 of the Report provides qualitative characteristics of the Board of Directors without disclosure of personal data. No compliance. A memorandum is not available.
6.2	The company makes timely disclosures of comp and investors to make informed decisions	lete, updated and reliable information to allow shareholders		
6.2.1	The company discloses information based on the principles of regularity, consistency and promptness, as well as availability, reliability, completeness and comparability of disclosed data	 The company established a procedure that ensures coordination of work of all subdivisions and employees of the company who are related to disclosure of information or whose activities may result in the need to disclose information. If the company's securities are traded on foreign regulated markets, the company ensures concerted and equivalent disclosure of material information in the Russian Federation and in the said markets in the reporting period. If foreign shareholders hold a significant amount of the company's shares, during the reporting year, information was disclosed not only in the Russian language, but also in one of the most widespread foreign languages 	Full compliance	 Full compliance. The Company's securities are not traded on foreign organised exchanges. JSC FPC has no foreign shareholders.

FPC.RU

No.

6.2.2

6.2.3

6.3

6.3.1

01 02 03 04 05 **06 APPENDICES**

in preserving the confidentiality of important commercial information which may materially affect its competitiveness Actions that significantly impact or may significantly impact the authorised capital structure or financial condition of the company and, respectively, shareholders position (significant corporate actions) are fairly executed providing observance of rights and interests of shareholders and other stakeholders Significant corporate actions include restructuring 7.1.1 of the company, acquisition of 30% or more of the company's voting shares (takeover), execution by the company of significant transactions, increase or reduction of the company's authorised capital, listing or de-listing of the company's shares, as well as other consideration by the Board of Directors in order to form an appropriate recommendation. The Board actions which may lead to material changes in the rights of directors presents relevant recommendations to shareholders of Directors also determined the criteria of major transactions subject to compliance with which of shareholders or violation of their interests. such transactions are subject to approval by the Board of Directors (disposal of immovable property, The company's articles of association define a list transactions related to receipt and issue of loans and sureties by the Company, issuance of quarantees of transactions or other actions classified as significant by the Company (including on behalf of the Company), conclusion of loan agreements, borrow corporate actions pertaining to the competence agreements, pledge agreements, transactions with promissory notes). of the company's board of directors The board of directors plays a key role in making decisions The company has in place a procedure enabling independent directors Full compliance or working out recommendations regarding significant to express their opinions on significant corporate actions prior to approval corporate actions, relying on the opinions of the company's independent directors

No.	Corporate governance principles	Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Status of Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle	Explanations of Deviations from the Criteria for Assessing Compliance with Corporate Governance Principle
7.1.3	When taking significant corporate actions affecting the rights and legitimate interests of shareholders, equal terms and conditions are ensured for all shareholders of the company, and, in case of insufficient statutory mechanisms for protecting shareholder rights, additional measures are taken to protect the rights and legitimate interests of the company's shareholders.	 The company's articles of association, taking into account the peculiarities of the company's operations, stipulate that the competence of the board of directors shall include approval of other transactions that are significant to the company, in addition to those provided for by law. In the reporting period, all significant corporate actions were duly approved before they were taken. 	Full compliance	
	In doing so, the company is guided by the corporate governance principles set forth in the Code, as well as by formal statutory requirements			
7.2	The company performs significant corporate a receive complete information about such acti adequate protection of their rights when performs the such as the such actions of their rights.	actions in such a way as to ensure that shareholders timely ons, allowing them to influence such actions and guaranteeing forming such actions		
7.2.1	Information about significant corporate actions is disclosed with explanations of the grounds, circumstances and consequences	 If the company performed significant corporate actions during the reporting period, the company disclosed information on such actions in a timely and detailed manner, including disclosing the reasons, conditions and consequences of such actions to shareholders 	Full compliance	
7.2.2	The rules and procedures related to significant corporate actions are set forth in the company's internal documents		Partial compliance	1. Partial compliance.
				The Company's internal documents stipulate the procedure for engaging an independent appraiser to determine the market value of the disposed immovable property.
				2. Partial compliance.
				When approving major transactions by the General Meeting of Shareholders, JSC FPC is guided by the provisions of the Federal Law "On Joint Stock Companies" and JSC FPC's Articles of Association. Thus, in order to respect the rights of shareholders to buy back shares in major transactions, JSC FPC engages an independent appraiser to assess the market value of the shares to be bought back, and the results of such assessment are reviewed by the Company's Board of Directors.
				In internal documents, the Regulations on the General Meeting of Shareholders stipulate the submission of a share appraisal report to shareholders in the event of significant corporate actions.
				3. Partial compliance.
				Section 3.3 of the Regulations on the Board of Directors of JSC FPC (approved on 3 July 2024, Minutes of the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders No. 58) stipulates that a member of the Board of Directors who has a conflict of interest must immediately notify the Board of Directors, through its Chairman or the Company's Corporate Secretary, of both the existence of a conflict of interest and the grounds for the conflict. Such notification shall in any case be made prior to the discussion of the matter on which such member of the Board of Directors has a conflict of interest at a meeting of the Board of Directors or its committee with the participation of such Board member.
				The Board member may not participate in decision-making if there is a conflict of interest. They should abstain from voting on matters in respect of which they have a conflict of interest.
				Where the nature of the matter under discussion or the specifics of a conflict of interest so requires, the Board of Directors shall have the right to propose that the member of the Board of Directors with the relevant conflict of interest not attend the discussion of such matter at the meeting.